

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,162	12/31/2003	Misao Shiina	Q79094	6962
23373 7590 10/01/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			NASH, LASHANYA RENJE	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/748,162 SHIINA, MISAO Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner LASHANYA R. NASH 2153 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2153

#### DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 26 June 2008. Claims 1-20 are presented for further consideration. Claims 2, 3, 5-9, 11-12, 14-19 are currently amended. Claim 20 is new.

### Response to Arguments

The objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 18-19 under 35 USC § 101 are withdrawn. However, in light of the amendments a new objection to the specification has been made as set forth below in the Office action.

In considering Applicant's arguments the following statements are noted:

- (I) Applicant contends that Garcia-Luna-Aceves fails to teach or suggest that the Web router includes components which (1) end the connection associated with a particular packet (2) obtain the content from the selected optimum server and (3) transfer the content to the client.
- (II) Applicant contends that nowhere does Garcia-Luna-Aceves teach or suggest means for detecting a change in topology from the changes in the routing table.

Art Unit: 2153

In considering (I), Applicant contends that Garcia-Luna-Aceves fails to teach or suggest that the Web router includes components which (1) end the connection associated with the a particular packet (2) obtain the content from the selected optimum server and (3) transfer the content to the client. Examiner agrees that Garcia-Luna-Aceves fails to disclose the web router ends the connection associated with a particular packet. However, a newly found prior art reference Lachhiramka et al. has been found to teach this feature as set forth below in the Office action. Examiner respectfully disagrees, however, that Garcia-Luna-Aceves fails to disclose the web router obtains the content from the selected optimum server and transfers the content to the client. Examiner asserts that Garcia-Luna-Aceves expressly discloses a web router has functionality implemented as part of a content server, web server, and web cache (paragraph) [0080], lines 1-7). Furthermore, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses that a web cache obtains the content from the selected optimum server and subsequently transfers the content to the requesting client (paragraph [0088], lines 1-19). Therefore, it logically follows that a web router co-located and sharing similar functionality of the cache would also accomplish the aforementioned operability. As a result, it is evident that Garcia-Luna-Aceves teaches a web router that obtains the content from the selected optimum server and transfers the content to the client.

In considering (II), Applicant contends that nowhere does Garcia-Luna-Aceves teach or suggest means for detecting a change in topology from the changes in the routing table. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant further

Art Unit: 2153

contends that web router finds changes in topology from messages carrying updated, as opposed to the changes in the routing table. However, Examiner asserts that Garcia-Luna-Aceves explicitly discloses that changes in the routing table are also transmitted as content in these update messages that are subsequently employed by routers to determine topology changes (paragraph [0049], lines 8-13). Therefore, it is evident that a change must be detected in the table which thereby results in the messages being generated and conveyed to routers that contains this updated table information.

#### Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Claims 18-19 refer to a computer-readable medium. However, there is no mention of a computer-readable medium within the specification. Examiner recommends cancelling the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Art Unit: 2153

Claim1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia-Luna-Aceves et al (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0101278) in view of Lachhiramka et al. ("Switch Based Traffic Distribution for Web Server Farms"-retrieved from Internet), hereinafter referred to as Garcia-Luna-Aceves and Lachhiramka, as set forth below in the Office action.

In reference to claim 1, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses a router employed for directing clients to optimal servers in computer networks (abstract). Garcia-Luna-Aceves further discloses:

- An IP router (i.e. web router; Figure 2-item 202; paragraph [0072], lines 1-6) including an IP(Internet Protocol) routing table which stores routing information (paragraph [0050], lines 1-17; paragraph [0075], lines 4-18) for connecting a plurality of client devices (i.e. client; Figure 2-item 110) with an original server (i.e. content server; Figure 2-item 210) which is at least an origin of supplying a content and with a plurality of mirror servers (i.e. web caches; Figure 2-item 208) into which the content supplied from the original server is copied (i.e. content at content server is replicated at web caches; paragraph [0068], lines 1-7; paragraph [0079], lines 11-17), the IP router comprising:
- means for obtaining request information of a content requested by the client device (i.e. router receives request packets from clients

Application/Control Number: 10/748,162 Page 6

Art Unit: 2153

for forwarding; paragraph [0006], lines 1-12; paragraph [0082], lines 1-13):

- means for selecting an optimum server for the request information
   (i.e. optimal server selected; paragraph [0069], lines 1-6) based on
   information which is to be an index for selecting an optimum server
   (i.e. metrics associated with route mappings; paragraph [0048],
   lines 1-5; paragraph [0052], lines 1-8; paragraph [0073], lines 8-18)
   if the obtained request information corresponds to the plurality of
   mirror servers (i.e. requested URL for content supplied by web
   caches and content server; paragraph [0086], lines 1-6 or client
   serviced by web caches and content server; paragraph [0087], lines
   1-8) and based on the routing information (i.e. paragraph [0073],
   lines 13-18; )
- means for obtaining the content by connecting with the optimum server selected and means for transferring the obtained content to the client device (paragraph [0086], lines 1-15; paragraph [0088], lines 1-19).

In reference to claim 7, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses a router employed for directing clients to optimal servers in computer networks (abstract). Garcia-Luna-Aceves further discloses:

• A communication system (Figure 2) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/748,162 Page 7

Art Unit: 2153

 a plurality of client devices (i.e. client; Figure 2-item 110; paragraph (00781, lines 10-19);

- an original server (i.e. content server; Figure 2-item 210) which at least serves as an origin of supplying a content (paragraph [0079], lines 11-15);
- a plurality of mirror servers (i.e. web caches; Figure 2-item 208)
  which copy and hold the content supplied from the original server
  (i.e. content at content server is replicated at web caches;
  paragraph [0068], lines 1-7; paragraph [0079], lines 16-17); and
- an IP(Internet Protocol) router (i.e. web router; Figure 2-item 202) for connecting the plurality of client devices with the original server and the plurality of mirror servers over a network; (paragraph [0072], lines 1-6);
- wherein the IP router includes an IP(Internet Protocol) routing table for storing routing information (paragraph [0050], lines 1-17; paragraph [0075], lines 4-18), and comprises:
- means for ending a connection relating to a packet output from a specific port of the client device and obtaining request information of a content requested by the client device (i.e. router receives request packets from clients for forwarding; paragraph [0006], lines 1-12;paragraph [0082], lines 1-13);
- means for selecting an optimum server for the request information
   (i.e. optimal server selected; paragraph [0069], lines 1-6) based on

Art Unit: 2153

information which is to be an index for selecting an optimum server (i.e. metrics associated with route mappings; paragraph [0048], lines 1-5; paragraph [0052], lines 1-8; paragraph [0073], lines 8-18) if the obtained request information corresponds to the plurality of mirror servers (i.e. requested URL for content supplied by web caches and content server; paragraph [0086], lines 1-6 or client serviced by web caches and content server; paragraph [0087], lines 1-8) and based on the routing information (i.e. paragraph [0073], lines 13-18; )

 means for obtaining the content by connecting with the optimum server selected (paragraph [0086], lines 1-15; paragraph [0088], lines 1-19).

The reference fails to disclose ending a connection relating to a packet output from a specific port of the client device. Nonetheless, this was a well-known feature in the art at the time of the invention as further evidenced by Lachhiramka. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to accordingly modify the teachings of Garcia-Luna-Aceves.

In an analogous art, Lachhiramka discloses routers employed to route client requests to the best location where that content is available (1. Introduction, paragraph 1). Lachhiramka further discloses in forwarding the client request to a determined destination server, ending a connection relating to a packet output from a specific port of the client device (abstract and 3.1. Packet

Art Unit: 2153

Classification). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been so motivated to accordingly modify the teachings of Garcia-Luna-Aceves so as to provide a network device (i.e. router) that performs high-performance content routing (Lachhiramka, 1. Introduction, lines 12-15).

In reference to claims 13, 18, and 20 Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses a method [claim 13] (paragraph [0069], lines 1-3) and associated computer software [claim 18] (paragraph [0047], lines 9-10) and downloaded software [claim 20] (paragraph [0081], lines 1-8) employed for directing clients to optimal servers in computer networks (abstract). Garcia-Luna-Aceves further discloses:

- A band setting method of an IP router (i.e. web router; Figure 2-item 202) for setting a band (paragraph [0072], lines 1-6' paragraph [0073], lines 1-13) when connecting a plurality of client devices (i.e. client; Figure 2-item 110) with an original server (i.e. content server; Figure 2-item 210) which is at least an origin of supplying a content and with a plurality of mirror servers (i.e. web caches; Figure 2-item 208) into which the content supplied from the original server is copied (i.e. content at content server is replicated at web caches; paragraph [0068], lines 1-7; paragraph [0079], lines 11-17), the IP router comprising:
- obtaining request information of a content requested by the client device (i.e. router receives request packets from clients for

Application/Control Number: 10/748,162 Page 10

Art Unit: 2153

forwarding; paragraph [0006], lines 1-12;paragraph [0082], lines 1-13);

- selecting an optimum server for the request information (i.e. optimal server selected; paragraph [0069], lines 1-6) based on information which is to be an index for selecting an optimum server (i.e. metrics associated with route mappings; paragraph [0048], lines 1-5; paragraph [0052], lines 1-8; paragraph [0073], lines 8-18) if the obtained request information corresponds to the plurality of mirror servers (i.e. requested URL for content supplied by web caches and content server; paragraph [0086], lines 1-6 or client serviced by web caches and content server; paragraph [0087], lines 1-8) and based on the routing information (i.e. paragraph [0073], lines 13-18; )
- obtaining the content by connecting with the optimum server selected and transferring the obtained content to the client device (paragraph [0086], lines 1-15; paragraph [0088], lines 1-19);
- detecting, from a change in the contents of the IP routing table, that
  a network topology has been changed (paragraph [0073], lines 1318);
- altering a selection criteria of the optimum server (i.e. updating tables) based on a result of detecting that the network topology has been changed (paragraph [0049], lines 1-12; paragraph [0053], lines 1-9); and

Application/Control Number: 10/748,162
Art Unit: 2153

 altering a band setting (i.e. bandwidth; paragraph [0073], lines 8-13) for each service class according to a traffic change accompanying an alteration of the selection criteria (paragraph [0076], lines 1-9).

The reference fails to disclose ending a connection relating to a packet output from a specific port of the client device. Nonetheless, this was a well-known feature in the art at the time of the invention as further evidenced by Lachhiramka. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to accordingly modify the teachings of Garcia-Luna-Aceves.

In an analogous art, Lachhiramka discloses routers employed to route client requests to the best location where that content is available (1. Introduction, paragraph 1). Lachhiramka further discloses in forwarding the client request to a determined destination server, ending a connection relating to a packet output from a specific port of the client device (abstract and 3.1. Packet Classification). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been so motivated to accordingly modify the teachings of Garcia-Luna-Aceves so as to provide a network device (i.e. router) that performs high-performance content routing (Lachhiramka, 1. Introduction, lines 12-15).

In reference to claims 2 and 19, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses a means for detecting, from a change in the contents of the IP routing table, that a network topology has been changed (paragraph [0073], lines 13-18); a means for altering

Art Unit: 2153

a selection criteria of the optimum server (i.e. updating tables) based on a result of detecting that the network topology has been changed (paragraph [0049], lines 1-12; paragraph [0053], lines 1-9); and a means for altering a band setting (i.e. bandwidth; paragraph [0073], lines 8-13) for each service class according to a traffic change accompanying an alteration of the selection criteria (paragraph [0076], lines 1-9).

In reference to claims 3, 9 and 14 Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses wherein the information to be an index for selecting the optimum server is at least one of: information for driving/stopping state per server, RTT(Round-Trip Time) information, or throughput information (paragraph [0073], lines 8-18).

In reference to claims 4, 10, and 15 Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses wherein the means for selecting an optimum server selects the optimum server by additionally considering a past access track record (paragraph [0086], lines 1-15).

In reference to claims 5, 11 and 12 Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses wherein a health check for obtaining information (i.e. validity), per server, which is to be an index for selecting the optimum server is performed when a change in contents of the IP routing table is recognized (paragraph [0129], lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 2153

In reference to claims 6, 12, and 17 Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses means for monitoring a changing situation of the traffic for a predetermined certain period of time (paragraph [0039], lines 5-11), wherein the means for altering the band setting alters the band setting for each service class by using a result of monitoring the changing situation of the traffic, performed by the means, as a trigger (paragraph [0073], lines 8-18).

In reference to claim 8, Garcia-Luna-Aceves discloses a means for transferring the obtained content to the client device (paragraph [0086], lines 1-15; paragraph [0088], lines 1-19); a means for detecting, from a change in the contents of the IP routing table, that a network topology has been changed (paragraph [0073], lines 13-18); altering a selection criteria of the optimum server (i.e. updating tables) based on a result of detecting that the network topology has been changed (paragraph [0049], lines 1-12; paragraph [0053], lines 1-9); and altering a band setting (i.e. bandwidth; paragraph [0073], lines 8-13) for each service class according to a traffic change accompanying an alteration of the selection criteria (paragraph [0076], lines 1-9).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LASHANYA R. NASH whose telephone number is (571)272-3957. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

Art Unit: 2153

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LaShanya R Nash/ Examiner, Art Unit 2153 September 26, 2008

/Liangche A. Wang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2153